

From: inabransby
Sent: 20 August 2024 21:26
To: Gatwick Airport
Subject: Pins reference number 20044123

Dear Sir/Madam,

Now the process is due to complete 21 August, I remain staunchly against this proposed NEW runway.

Throughout this process Gatwick has not adequately addressed the following issues:-

The biggest and most damning issue with the building of this NEW runway is the aircraft noise (ISH9) over Kent and Sussex. There has to be a 0.5 decibel reduction in the noise envelope as proposed by PINS. If Gatwick cannot commit to this, they will know full well this is unachievable and the population below will be so much worse off than what happened in 2014. There must be a night ban included in the proposal.

A Carbon cap ISH9 - to ensure Gatwick Airport emissions are controlled and that they reduce greenhouse gases. Scope 3 emission must be included in the cap i.e. waste transport to third party incinerators and the consequent increase in flights to and from the airport.

Airspace is not adequately addressed as submitted by Easy Jet and BA, this was not included in Gatwick's proposal.

Insulation - ISH9. There must be full and meaningful compensation for all residents impacted by both this NEW runway and increase in traffic on the main runway, including outside of the current contour of consideration

ANOB and historic importance are not addressed

The resulting congested surface transport lack of comprehensive data from Gatwick and what will happen early morning with a huge increase of traffic

Air quality is only offered in a monitoring fashion. The air quality standards must be legally binding in the DCO. This should not be in a local authority agreement 106. There should be mandatory targets to be met by an airport with 2 runways.

Waste water flooding - the DCO must include a mandatory onsite wastewater sewerage treatment plant.

Lack of housing, schools and amenities resulting if this project went ahead. There is no mention of a housing fund in respect of construction workers and therefore adding to the huge shortfall of housing which already exists now

The need to bring in workers from outside since there is very low unemployment adding to an existing housing crisis

Significant increase in waste. What provision is there for accountability in the transport of waste on the congested roads around the airport

The community fund - does not remotely address the impact of this project on a heavily populated area

Safeguards are needed in respect of odours due to the use of alternative fuel and what impact this will have on all of us below

Finally, the fight that started in 2014 remains the same **GATWICK IS BIG ENOUGH!**

Yours sincerely

Ina Bransby